

# **POSTER PRESENTATION**

Open Access

# Economic evaluation of a patient and carer centred system of longer-term stroke care from a cluster randomised trial (the LoTS care trial)

Anita Patel<sup>1\*</sup>, Anne Forster<sup>2,3</sup>, John Young<sup>3</sup>, Jane Nixon<sup>2</sup>, Katie Chapman<sup>3</sup>, Martin Knapp<sup>4</sup>, Kirste Mellish<sup>3</sup>, Ivana Holloway<sup>2</sup>, Amanda Farrin<sup>2</sup>

From Health Services Research: Evidence-based practice London, UK. 1-3 July 2014

# **Background**

Stroke generates considerable personal and financial burdens to society. We evaluated the cost-effectiveness of a new post-discharge system of care for stroke care co-ordinators (SCCs) to address the longer term problems experienced by stroke patients and their carers.

### Materials and methods

A pragmatic cluster, randomised, controlled trial compared the system of care against usual care. Randomisation was at the level of stroke service. Participants' use of health/social care services and informal care were measured by self-complete questionnaires at baseline, 6 and 12 months. From these, we estimated and compared individual-level total costs from health/social care and societal perspectives at 6 months, 12 months and over 1 year. Costs were combined with the primary outcome, psychological health (General Health Questionnaire 12; GHQ12), and quality-adjusted life years (QALYs; based on the EQ-5D) to examine cost-effectiveness at 6 months. Cost-effectiveness acceptability curves based on the net benefit approach and bootstrapping techniques were used to estimate the probability of cost-effectiveness.

# **Results**

32 services were randomised, of which 29 participated, and 800 stroke patients (401 intervention, 399 control) and 208 carers (108 intervention, 100 control) were recruited. Costs of SCC inputs (mean difference £42; 95% CI: -30, 116) and total health and social care costs at 6 months, 12 months and over 1 year were similar between

groups. Total costs from the societal perspective were higher in the intervention group due to greater use of informal care ( $\pm £1163$  at 6 months, 95% CI 56 to 3271;  $\pm £4135$  at 12 months, 95% CI 618 to 7652). There were no differences in GHQ12 or QALYs and the probability of the system of care being cost-effective at 6 months was low at the current policy threshold of £20,000 to £30,000 per QALY gain.

# **Conclusions**

The system of care was not cost-effective compared with usual care in this patient group over the period we examined. It is unclear why the intervention group accessed greater levels of informal care.

### Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, UK. <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine & Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. <sup>3</sup>Academic Unit of Elderly Care and Rehabilitation, Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford, UK. <sup>4</sup>Personal Social Services Research Unit, London School of Economics & Political Science, London, UK.

Published: 7 July 2014

doi:10.1186/1472-6963-14-S2-P92

Cite this article as: Patel *et al.*: Economic evaluation of a patient and carer centred system of longer-term stroke care from a cluster randomised trial (the LoTS care trial). *BMC Health Services Research* 2014 14(Suppl 2):P92.

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, UK Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

